(m, 2 H), 4.65 (t, J = 2 Hz, 2 H), 6.41 (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 2 H). 1,2-Dibromo-7,7-dimethylenebicyclo[2.2.1]heptane. Catalytic hydrogenation was carried out with a Parr low-pressure hydrogenator under 75 psi initial pressure. A solution of 5,6dibromo-7,7-dimethylenebicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene (26.0 g, 93 mmol) in EtOAc (200 mL) was shaken at room temperature for 6 h in the presence of W-4 Raney Nickel²⁵ (prepared from 50% Ni-Al alloy). The reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated to give the title compound in quantitative yield, mp 75 °C after recrystallization from aqueous EtOH. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.59 (s, 4 H), 1.5-2.1 (m, 4 H), 2.10 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 4.78 (s, 2 H).Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₂Br₂: C, 38.60; H, 4.31. Found: C, 38.51; H, 4.41.

7,7-Dimethylenebicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene. Zn-Cu couple26 (45 g) was added to a warm solution of 1,2-dibromo-7,7-dimethylenebicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (18.0 g, 65 mmol) in 95% EtOH (400 mL), and the mixture was refluxed for 5 h. The solid was filtered, and the filtrate was poured into $H_2O(1.0 L)$. The mixture was extracted with pentane $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$, the combined extracts were washed twice with H_2O and dried over $MgSO_4$, and the pentane was removed with an efficient fractionating column. The residue was distilled to give 5.1 g (66%) of the title compound, bp 52-53 °C (30 Torr) ((lit.²⁴ bp 63 °C (60 Torr)).

7,7-Dimethylene-exo-2-acetoxy-exo-3-(chloromercurio)bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane. A solution of 7,7-dimethylenebicyclo-[2.2.1]hept-2-ene (13.0 g, 108 mmol) and Hg(OAc)₂ (34.0 g, 105 mmol) in glacial AcOH (500 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 12 h, and then 900 mL of 2% aqueous NaCl was added. The solid that formed was filtered, washed with H_2O , air-dried, and recrystallized from EtOAc to give 26.0 g (75%) of the title compound, mp 97 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.33–0.83 (m, 4 H), 1.00-1.90 (m, 4 H), 1.97 (s, 3 H), 2.45-2.65 (m, 2 H), 2.74 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H). Anal. Calcd for $C_{11}H_{15}CHgO_2$: C, 31.81; H, 3.64. Found: C, 31.82; H, 3.65.

7,7-Dimethylene-exo-3-deuterio-exo-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-ol and Tosylate 4. Reduction of 7,7-dimethylene-exo-2acetoxy-exo-3-(chloromercurio)bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (20.8 g, 50 mmol) with sodium amalgam and NaOD in D₂O according to the procedure of Jensen, Miller, Cristol, and Beckley¹¹ gave the crude alcohol, which was tosylated^{12a} to give 6.6 g (45% for two steps) of 4, mp 59–60 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.17–0.75 (m, 4 H), 1.00-2.00 (m, 7 H), 2.46 (s, 3 H), 4.61 (d, J = 7 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (q,4 H).

exo-2-Acetoxy-exo-3-(bromomercurio)bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene. A solution of bicyclo[2.2.1]hepta-2,5-diene (20.2 g, 0.22 mol) and Hg(OAc)₂ (49.8 g, 0.16 mol) in AcOH (120 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 10 min and poured into 200 mL of 10% aqueous KBr. From the oil that soon separated, the aqueous AcOH was decanted and the oil was crystallized at -20°C. The solid was filtered and washed with H₂O several times to give 65 g of crude product. Recrystallization from EtOAc (200 mL) gave 53.0 g (80%) of the title compound, mp 127 °C (lit.²⁴ mp 127-128 °C). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.78 (s, 2 H), 2.10 (s, 3 H), 2.75 (dd, J = 7.2 and 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.14 (s, 1 H), 3.33 (s, 1 H), 5.10 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.22 (d of q, J = 17.0 and 3.0 Hz, 2 H).

exo-3-Deuteriobicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-ol and Tosylate 5. Reduction of exo-2-acetoxy-exo-3-(bromomercurio)bicyclo-[2.2.1]hept-5-ene (21.6 g 50 mmol) with sodium amalgam and NaOD in D₂O according to the procedure of Jensen, Miller, Cristol, and Beckley¹¹ gave the crude alcohol, which was tosylated^{12a} to give 4.9 g (37% for two steps) of 5, mp 43 °C (lit.²⁷ mp 49–51 °C). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.47–1.70 (m, 2 H), 2.45 (s, 3 H), 2.81 (s, 1 H), 2.94 (s, 1 H), 4.49 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.04 (d of q, J = 36.5and 3.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.51 (q, 4 H).

Elimination Reaction Procedure and Hydrocarbon Analyses. Solutions of potassium alkoxides in triglyme were prepared by reacting KH with the corresponding alcohol in triglyme under nitrogen.⁷ For reactions of 0.125 M tosylate with 0.25 M potassium alkoxide and 0.25 M 18-crown-6 in triglyme at 60 °C, hydrocarbon products were removed from the reaction vessel with a slow nitrogen sweep¹⁵ and were trapped and analyzed by GC and GC-MS as reported previously.7 For reaction of 0.125 M 4 with 0.25 M potassium tert-butoxide in triglyme in the absence of 18-crown-6, the reaction was conducted at 80 °C.

Acknowledgment. Support of this research by the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary Material Available: Proton NMR spectra of tosylates 4 and 5 (2 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Solvolytic Stereoselective Dehalogenation of vic-Dihalides¹

Jitender M. Khurana* and Golak C. Maikap

Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, Delhi 110007, India

Received September 20, 1990

The debromination of *vic*-dibromides has been a subject of investigation with diverse reducing agents² in different solvents under a variety of reaction conditions. Both stereospecific and stereoselective dehalogenations have been reported with various reagents, but little is known about the role of solvents in these reactions except that methanol³ is reported to bring about methanolysis of meso-stilbene dibromide (eq 1). meso- and dl-stilbene dibromides (1) have invariably been chosen as model substrates, and varying amounts of (Z)-stilbene (2) have been reported from dl-1, unlike meso-1 which gives (E)-2 stereospecifically and stereoselectively.

This is the first report on the quantitative debromination of meso- and dl-stilbene dibromides (1) and meso- and dl-dimethyl-2,3-dibromosuccinates (3) by dry N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) at 155-160 °C under N₂ atmosphere to give the (E)-alkene in the *absence* of any reagent whatsoever. The debrominations were complete in 90 min, and even at 100 °C we observed 19% debromination of meso-1 in 60 min with DMF. Thus, we believe that some of the previous reports on debromination with different reagents in DMF, $2^{f,4b,c,5}$ especially at elevated temperature,

⁽²⁵⁾ Billica, H. R.; Adkins, H. Organic Syntheses; New York, 1955; (25) Billica, H. R.; Adkins, H. Organic Syntheses; New York, 1955;
Collect. Vol. III, p 176. Mozingo, R. Organic Syntheses; Wiley: New York, 1955;
Collect. Vol. III, p 181.
(26) Corbin, T. F.; Hahn, R. C.; Schechter, H. Organic Syntheses;
Wiley: New York, 1973; Collect. Vol. V, p 328.
(27) The undeurated analogue of 5 has been reported. Lambert, J. B.;
Holcomb, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 2994. Lambert, J. B.; Mark,
H. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 93, 2994.

H. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 2501.

⁽¹⁾ Part of the work was presented at the International Symposium on Chemistry of Organobrominated Compounds, Mulhouse-Thann, France, Oct 1989; Abstract No.P65.

^{(2) (}a) March, J. Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms and Structures, 2nd ed.; McGraw-Hill International: New York, nisms and Structures, 2nd ed.; McGraw-Inil International: New York,
 1977; pp 943-4 and references cited therein; (b) Prince, M.; Bremer, B.
 W. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 1655. (c) Landini, D.; Milesi, L.; Quadri, M.
 L.; Rolla, F. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 152. (d) Fukunaga, K.; Yamaguchi,
 H. Synthesis 1981, 879. (e) Adam, W.; Ance, J. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37,
 507. (f) Ramakrishanan, V. T.; Natarajan, M.; Mani, T. Ind. J. Chem.
 1987, 26B, 587. (g) Doshi, A. G.; Ghiya, B. J. J. Ind. Chem. Soc. 1986, 404.

⁽³⁾ Tsai Lee, C. S.; Mathai, I. M.; Miller, S. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 4602.

^{(4) (}a) Mathai, I. M.; Schug, K.; Miller, S. I. J. Org. Chem. 1970 35, 1733. (b) Mathai, I. M.; Miller, S. I. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 3416, 3420. (c) Kwok, W. K.; Miller, S. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 4599. (d) Baciocchi, E.; Schiroli, A. J. Chem. Soc. B 1969, 554. (e) Maidan, R.;
 Willner, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1080.
 (5) Kempe, T.; Norin, T.; Caputo, R. Acta Chem. Scand. 1976, B30,

^{366.}

 Table I. Reaction of vic-Dihalides with dry DMF under Nitrogen Atmosphere

_						
			time,		%	
	substrate	solvent	min	product	yield	
	meso-1	DMF	60	(<i>E</i>)-2	99ª	
	dl-1	DMF	90	(E)-2	98ª	
	meso- 3	DMF	45	4	95 ⁶	
	dl-3	DMF	60	4	96°	
	meso-1	CH ₃ CN	90	meso-1	с	
	meso-1	THF	90	meso-1	с	
	meso-1	1.4-dioxane	90	meso-1	с	
	<i>meso</i> -stilbene dichloride	DMF	210	(<i>E</i>)-2	d	
	erythro-methyl cinnamate dibromide	DMF	60	(E)-methyl cinnamate	93 "	
	benzalaceto- phenone dibromide	DMF	60	benzalaceto- phenone	83 ^{e,f}	
	benzalacetone	DMF	60	benzalacetone	74 ^e -	

^aRelative yields determined by GLC. No (Z)-2 was detected. ^bRelative yields determined by GLC. No dimethyl maleate was detected. ^cReactions carried out under reflux. GLC analyses of the reaction mixture showed no stilbenes. ^dGLC analyses showed 23% and 66% of (E)-2 after 60 and 210 min, respectively. ^eIsolated yield. ^f α -Bromobenzalacetophenone (13%) was also isolated. ^g α -Bromobenzalacetone (17%) was also detected.

proceed mainly by the participation of the solvent alone. The reagent may, however, aid these dehalogenations. We have carried out a detailed investigation of these dehalogenations with DMF. Our results are summarized in Table I.

Our results (Table I) show that the elimination, in the conversion of meso- and dl-1 to (E)-2 and that of mesoand dl-3 to dimethell fumate (4) exclusively, proceeds stereoselectively. The isomerization of (Z)-2 to (E)-2 during elimination has been ruled out by various independent experiments: (i) reaction of (Z)-2 with DMF at 155–160 °C as no traces of (E)-2 were detected even after 120 min; (ii) detection of $dl-1^6$ only in the isomerization of (Z)-2 to (E)-2 with bromine (equimolar) under above reaction conditions (\sim 90 min), and (iii) isomerization of (Z)-2 to (E)-2 by continuous bubbling of dry HBr in the reaction mixture under the above reaction conditions not even 50% complete after 120 min.⁷ Since the isomerization by Br_2 or HBr is not spontaneous, these observations suggest that the eliminations in question are stereoselective. This eliminates the possibility of an antiperiplanar (E_2) elimination where DMF acts as a twoelectron reductant (eq 2) and also the elimination by an

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Ph}, \text{ coome}$$

$$\mathbf{Fr}$$

$$\mathbf{Me_2NCHO} + \mathbf{RCH} - \mathbf{CHR} - \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{RCH} = \mathbf{CHR} + \left[\mathbf{Me_2N} = \mathbf{CHOBr}\right] \mathbf{Fr}$$
(2)

B

$$Me_2 NCHO + RCH - CHR \rightarrow Me_2 N = CH - O \rightarrow RCH = CHR (3)$$

Gr Br R RCH₂ CHR (3)
R=Ph, COOMe Br - Br / Solvent

 S_N^2 attack from the oxygen end of DMF on one of the carbons bearing halogen followed by an E_2 elimination (eq 3) as both of these pathways would lead to stereospecific



elimination contrary to our observations. The anti alignment in *meso-* and *dl-1*, though favored by orbital symmetry rules,⁸ is opposed in the latter case conformationally by a free energy difference of 4.4 kcal/mol.⁹ The stereoselective elimination via free radicals by single-electron transfer from DMF¹⁰ is unlikely since no free radicals could be trapped by the hydrogen atom donors cyclohexene and cumene.

These eliminations are believed to be proceeding by slow ionization to a stable onium species, i.e., bromonium/ carbonium ion pair or isolated carbonium ion which collapses to (E)-alkene. In the case of dl-1 or dl-3 the carbonium ion formed initially undergoes rapid C-C bond rotation, owing to conformational instability, to a more stable onium species (Scheme I). The absence of rate retardation by sodium bromide in the reaction of meso-1 in DMF under identical conditions implies irreversible ionization to an onium species. The participation by sodium bromide itself simultaneously cannot, however, be ruled out.^{4b,e} The order of reactivity in E_1 elimination¹¹ I > Br > Cl > F also agrees with our observations since only 23% and 66% of dechlorination was observed after 60 and 210 min, respectively, in the reaction of mesostilbene dichloride with DMF. The formation of intermediate onium species is further corroborated by (i) detection of trace amounts of α -bromostilbene in the reaction of meso-1 when repeated on a large scale (20 mmol) and confirmed by TLC and thin-layer cochromatography with the authentic sample, (ii) isolation of α -bromobenzalacetophenone (13%) and α -bromobenzalacetone (17%) besides the alkene in the reactions of benzalacetophenone dibromide and benzalacetone dibromide, respectively, and (iii) absence of any elimination (120 min) in the reaction of tolan dibromide with DMF under identical conditions. Nevertheless, no elimination was observed in the reaction of meso-1 with polar solvents, e.g., 1,4-dioxane, tetrahydrofuran, and acetonitrile.

Experimental Section

vic-Dibromides were prepared by the addition of bromine to the corresponding olefins. Dimethylformamide (BDH) was used after drying. Melting points were determined on a Labequip apparatus and are uncorrected. GLC analyses were done with SE-30 column (3 m) on a Shimadzu Model GC-9A using FID detector and nitrogen as the carrier gas. IR spectra were recorded on Shimadzu Model 435 spectrophotometer.

General Procedure for the Dehalogenation by DMF. A 10-mmol portion of the *vic*-dihalide was placed in a 100-mL round-bottomed flask mounted over a magnetic stirrer and fitted with a reflux condenser and mercury trap. A 50-mL portion of dry DMF was added to the flask, and the system was flushed with nitrogen for 15 min. The progress of the reaction was monitored by thin-layer chromatography. After complete disappearance of

⁽⁶⁾ dl-1 is the starting material (entry 2, Table I), a study of which is the aim of this paper.

⁽⁷⁾ However, in the debromination 1 with DMF only small amount of HBr, if any, may be present at such a high temperature. Hence, complete isomerization by HBr in 60–90 min under our reaction conditions is highly unlikely.

^{(8) (}a) Fukui, K. Fujimoto, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1967, 40, 2018.
(b) Miller, S. I. Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 1968, 6, 185.
(9) Fischer, G.; Muszkat, K. A.; Fischer, E. J. Chem. Soc. B 1968, 1156.

 ⁽⁹⁾ Fischer, G.; Muszkat, K. A.; Fischer, E. J. Chem. Soc. B 1968, 1156.
 (10) (a) Morgan, S. E.; Rackam, D. M.; Swann, B. P.; Thriner, S. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 4837.
 (b) Wakae, W.; Hamano, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1963, 36, 230.

⁽¹¹⁾ Reference 2a, p 895.

the starting material, the contents of the flask were cooled to room temperature and poured into water ($\sim 300 \text{ mL}$). The product mixture was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$, dried (anhydrous MgSO₄), and subjected to GLC analyses after concentration on a Buchi rotavapor. Only (E)-stilbene and dimethyl fumarate were detected from 1 and 3, respectively, and no trace of (Z)-alkene. The solvent was removed completely and the product dried under vacuum to yield (E)-alkene, confirmed by melting point, mixed melting point, and superimposable IR spectra.

The reaction mixtures from benzalacetophenone dibromide and benzalacetone dibromide were separated by column chromatography (silica gel, 100-200 mesh) with benzene as eluent to yield the corresponding olefin and the α -bromo olefin.

Acknowledgment. We thank Prof. M. R. Parthasarathy for useful discussions and the CSIR, New Delhi, for a fellowship to G.C.M.

Transition-Metal-Assisted Asymmetric Synthesis of Amino Acid Analogues. A New Synthesis of **Optically Pure D- and L-Pyridylalanines**

Joseph J. Bozell,^{*,1*} C. E. Vogt, and J. Gozum^{1b}

Corporate Research and Development, Monsanto Company Q3A, 800 North Lindbergh Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63167

Received September 13, 1990

Pyridylalanines and substituted pyridylalanines, structural analogues of the naturally occurring amino acids histidine, phenylalanine, and tyrosine, exhibit a diverse range of effects when introduced into biological systems.² Pyridylalanines have been incorporated as histidine replacements in angiotensin II³ and the N-terminal tetradecapeptide of ribonuclease A and ribonuclease S.⁴ As enzyme substrates, the pyridylalanines are found to be antagonists of phenylalanine⁵ and inhibitors of histidine decarboxylase.⁶ Pyridylalanines have been studied as pharmaceutical agents and show antiinflammatory activity⁷ and are components of both new antibacterial cepholasporins⁸ and antiovulatory peptides.⁹ As part of our program to use transition-metal catalysts in the synthesis of biologically active molecules, we report a new asym-



metric route to both the D and L isomers of 3- and 4pyridylalanine. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a direct asymmetric synthesis of these heterocyclic amino acid analogues. Although other methods have been reported for the synthesis of pyridylalanines,¹⁰ the principal drawback to these approaches is that resolution is required to obtain the pure enantiomer. Our approach is shown in Scheme I. Treatment of 3- or 4-bromopyridine (1a or 1b) in a nitrile solvent (6-8 mL/mmol of heteroaromatic bromide) with olefin 2 in the presence of 2-3 mol % of Pd₂(dba)₃, 8-12 mol % P(o-tol)₃, and 1.1 equiv of Et₃N for 18 h at 110°C under an inert atmosphere gives good yields of prochiral enamides 3a or 3b. The enamide is hydrogenated in the presence of a chiral Rh catalyst to give the optically active pyridylalanine precursors 4a or 4b. The free amino acids 5a and 5b are released by hydrolysis of 4.

The key step in the process is the initial palladiumcatalyzed coupling of 2 and the bromopyridine. The product enamides are normally prepared by conversion of an aromatic aldehyde to the corresponding azalactone using classical Erlenmeyer methodology,¹¹ followed by hydrolysis or reaction of the azalactone with an alkoxide. However, an Erlenmeyer approach for the synthesis of 4b starting from 4-pyridinecarboxaldehyde was unsuccessful and gave instead only polymeric material.

The conditions used for the palladium-catalyzed coupling are important. Initial experiments showed that standard conditions reported by Heck¹² and others¹³ are not successful for the preparation of 3 from the crosscoupling of 1 and 2. At the high reactant concentrations

^{(1) (}a) To whom correspondence should be addressed at the Solar Energy Research Institute, 1617 Cole Blvd., Golden, CO 80401. (b) Participant in Monsanto's summer research program.

⁽²⁾ Reviews on amino acid analogues: (a) Roberts, D. C.; Vellaccio, F. (2) Reviews on amino acid analogues: (a) Roberts, D. C.; Venaccio, F.
The Peptides; Academic Press: New York, 1983; Vol. 5, Chapter 6, p 341.
(b) Wilson, M. J.; Hatfield, D. L. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1984, 781, 205.
(c) Lea, P. J.; Norris, R. D. Phytochemistry 1976, 15, 585.
(a) Hsieh, K.; Jorgensen, E. C. J. Med. Chem. 1979, 22, 1199.
(4) (a) Hoes, C.; Raap, J.; Bloemhoff, W.; Kerling, K. E. T. Recl. Trav.
Chim. Pays-Bas 1980, 99, 99. (b) Van Batenburg, O. D.; Voskuyl-Holtcamp, I.; Schattenkerk, C.; Hoes, K.; Kerling, K. E. T.; Havinga, E. Biochem, J. 1972, 169, 285.

Biochem. J. 1977, 163, 385

⁽⁵⁾ Sullivan, P. T.; Kester, M.; Norton, S. J. J. Med. Chem. 1968, 11, 1172

^{(6) (}a) Chang, G. W.; Snell, E. E. Biochemistry 1968, 7, 2005. (b) Tanase, S.; Guirard, B. M.; Snell, E. E. J. Biol. Chem. 1985, 260, 6738. (7) Shimeno, H.; Soeda, S.; Nagamatsu, A. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1977,

^{25, 2983.} (8) (a) Japan Patent JP 60/130591 A2, 1985. (b) European Patent EP 98609/A2, 1984.

 ^{(9) (}a) Rivier, J. E.; Porter, J.; Rivier, C. L.; Perrin, M.; Corrigan, A.;
 Hook, W. A.; Siraganian, R. P.; Vale, W. W. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 1846. (b) Folkers, K.; Bowers, C. Y.; Kubiak, T. M.; Stepinski, J. U.S. Patent 4,504,414, 1985.

^{(10) (}a) Agafonova, G. A.; Gerasimova, N. E.; Guseva, M. V.; Krainova, B. L.; Petrova, T. V.; Pozdnev, V. F.; Chaman, E. S. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1970, 40, 2502. (b) Overhoff, I.; Boeke, J.; Gorter, A. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1936, 55, 293. (c) Elliot, D. F.; Fuller, A. T.; Harington, C. R.; J. Chem. Soc. 1948, 85. (d) Niemann, C.; Lewis, R.; Hays, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1942, 64, 1678. (e) Bisler, R.; Niemann, C. J. Org. Chem. 1958, 23, 575. (f) Slater, G.; Somerville, A. E. Tetrahedron 1967, 23, 2823. (g) Norton, S. J.; Sanders, E. J. Med. Chem. 1967, 10, 961.
 (h) Natawabe,
 H.; Kuwata, S.; Naoe, K.; Nishida, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1968, 41, 1634.
 (i) Zymalkowsky, F. Arch. Pharm. 1958, 291, 436.
 (j) Norton, S. J.; Skinner, C. G.; Shive, W. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 1495.
 (k) Rechani, S., Skinler, C. G., Sinler, W. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 20, 1450. (a) Rechain,
 P. R.; Nakon, R.; Angelici, R. J. Bioinorg. Chem. 1966, 5, 329. (l) Griffith,
 R. K.; Harwood, H. J.; J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 2658. (m) Wibaut, J. P.;
 Wallingford, H. P.; Rang, H. J.; Kettenes, D. K. Recl. Trav. Chim.
 Pays-Bas 1955, 74, 1049. (n) Ali, M.; Khan, N. H.; Siddiqui, A. A. Synth. Commun. 1976, 6, 227

^{(11) (}a) Johnson, J. R. Org. React. 1942, 1, 210. (b) Carter, H. E. Org. React. 1946, 3, 198.

^{(12) (}a) Heck, R. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 146. (b) Heck, R. F. Org. React. 1982, 27, 345. For reactions of heteroaromatic systems under Heck conditions, see: Frank, W. C.; Kim, Y. C.; Heck, R. F. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2947.

^{(13) (}a) Ziegler, C. B.; Heck, R. F. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2949. (b) Cutolo, M.; Fiananese, V.; Naso, F.; Sciacovelli, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4603.